

# 1 Online appendix (not for publication)

## 1.1 Appendix A: Proof of Proposition 2.1

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* We first derive the implementability condition. Define the Arrow-Debreu price  $q_t^0 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} R_i^{-1}$  for  $t \geq 1$ , with the numeraire  $q_0^0 = 1$ . From the consumer's first order conditions  $u_c(t) = \lambda_t$  and  $\frac{\lambda_t}{R_t} = \beta \lambda_{t+1}$ , we have

$$q_t^0 = \beta^t \frac{u_c(t)}{u_c(0)}. \quad (1)$$

Iterating the household's flow budget constraint from the time 0, we obtain the present-value budget constraint that

$$b_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t^0 \left\{ c_t - (1 - \tau_t^n) w_t n_t + \underbrace{k_{t+1} - [(1 - \tau_t^k) r_t + 1 - \delta] k_t}_{\equiv x_t} \right\} + \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} q_T^0 b_T. \quad (2)$$

The term  $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t^0 x_t$  in (2) is derived as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t^0 x_t \quad (3) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t^0 \{ k_{t+1} - [(1 - \tau_t^k) r_t + 1 - \delta] k_t \} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=0}^T q_t^0 \{ k_{t+1} - [(1 - \tau_t^k) r_t + 1 - \delta] k_t \} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^T q_t^0 k_{t+1} - \sum_{t=1}^T q_t^0 [(1 - \tau_t^k) r_t + 1 - \delta] k_t \right\} - [(1 - \tau_0^k) r_0 + 1 - \delta] k_0 \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \{ q_t^0 - q_{t+1}^0 [(1 - \tau_{t+1}^k) r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta] \} k_{t+1} - [(1 - \tau_0^k) r_0 + 1 - \delta] k_0 + \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} q_T^0 k_{T+1} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \{ q_t^0 - q_{t+1}^0 [(1 - \tau_{t+1}^k) r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta] \} k_{t+1} - [(1 - \tau_0^k) r_0 + 1 - \delta] k_0 + \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} q_T^0 k_{T+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $u_c(t) = \lambda_t$  and  $\frac{\lambda_t}{R_t} = \beta \lambda_{t+1}$  in the modified no-arbitrage condition leads to:

$$R_t - [(1 - \tau_{t+1}^k) r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta] = \frac{u_k(t+1)}{u_c(t+1)}. \quad (4)$$

Multiplying both sides of (4) with  $q_{t+1}^0$  and using the definition of the Arrow-Debreu price, we have

$$q_t^0 - q_{t+1}^0 [(1 - \tau_{t+1}^k) r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta] = q_{t+1}^0 \frac{u_k(t+1)}{u_c(t+1)}. \quad (5)$$

Plugging (5) in the term (3) gives rise to

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t^0 x_t = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_{t+1}^0 \frac{u_k(t+1)}{u_c(t+1)} k_{t+1} - [(1 - \tau_0^k) r_0 + 1 - \delta] k_0 + \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} q_T^0 k_{T+1}. \quad (6)$$

Substituting (6) into equation (2) and imposing the following two transversality conditions

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} q_T^0 b_T = 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} q_T^0 k_{T+1} = 0,$$

we obtain the present-value budget constraint of the representative consumer

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left[ q_t^0 c_t + q_{t+1}^0 \frac{u_k(t+1)}{u_c(t+1)} k_{t+1} \right] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_t^0 (1 - \tau_t^n) w_t n_t + [(1 - \tau_0^k) r_0 + 1 - \delta] k_0 + b_0. \quad (7)$$

Substituting the price equations (1) and  $\frac{u_l(t)}{u_c(t)} = (1 - \tau_t^n) w_t$  into (7) and rearranging, we have the implementability condition:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [u_c(t) c_t - u_l(t) n_t + u_k(t) k_t] = u_c(0) \{[(1 - \tau_0^k) r_0 + 1 - \delta] k_0 + b_0\} + u_k(0) k_0 \equiv \tilde{A}_1 \quad (8)$$

Secondly, to solve the Ramsey problem, we form the Lagrangian

$$J = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \{U(t) + \theta_t [F(k_t, n_t) - c_t - g_t - k_{t+1} + (1 - \delta_k) k_t]\} - \Phi \tilde{A}_1.$$

Note that

$$U(t) \equiv U(c_t, n_t, k_t, \Phi) \equiv u(c_t, 1 - n_t, k_t) + \Phi [u_c(t) c_t - u_l(t) n_t + u_k(t) k_t],$$

where  $\Phi$  is the Lagrangian multiplier w.r.t the IMC and  $\{\theta_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of Lagrangian multipliers. The first order conditions are

$$c_t : U_c(t) = \theta_t, t \geq 1 \quad (9)$$

$$k_{t+1} : \theta_t = \beta \{U_k(t+1) + \theta_{t+1} [F_k(t+1) + 1 - \delta_k]\}, t \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

$$n_t : -U_n(t) = \theta_t F_n(t), t \geq 1 \quad (11)$$

where

$$U_c(t) = u_c(t) + \Phi [u_{cc}(t) c_t + u_c(t) - u_{lc}(t) n_t + u_{kc}(t) k_t],$$

$$U_n(t) = -u_l(t) + \Phi [-u_{cl}(t) c_t + u_{ll}(t) n_t - u_l(t) - u_{lk}(t) k_t],$$

$$U_k(t+1) = u_k(t+1) + \Phi [u_{ck}(t+1) c_{t+1} - u_{lk}(t+1) n_{t+1} + u_{kk}(t+1) k_{t+1} + u_k(t+1)].$$

Finally, we examine the steady state of the economy. The steady state versions for equations (9)-(11) are

$$\theta = (1 + \Phi) u_c + \underbrace{\Phi (u_{cc} c - u_{lc} n + u_{kc} k)}_{\equiv \eta_1}, \quad (12)$$

$$\theta[1 - \beta(F_k + 1 - \delta_k)] = \beta[(1 + \Phi)u_k + \underbrace{\Phi(u_{ck}c - u_{lk}n + u_{kk}k)}_{\equiv \eta_2}], \quad (13)$$

$$\theta F_n = (1 + \Phi)u_l + \underbrace{\Phi(u_{cl}c - u_{ll}n + u_{kl}k)}_{\equiv \eta_3}. \quad (14)$$

From equations (12) and (14), we solve for  $\frac{(1+\Phi)}{\theta}$  and  $\frac{\Phi}{\theta}$  as follows:

$$\frac{(1 + \Phi)}{\theta} = \frac{\eta_3 - F_n \eta_1}{u_c \eta_3 - u_l \eta_1}, \quad \frac{\Phi}{\theta} = \frac{u_c F_n - u_l}{u_c \eta_3 - u_l \eta_1}. \quad (15)$$

From the consumption Euler equation, we know that

$$F_k + 1 - \delta_k = \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{u_k}{u_c} + \tau^k F_k. \quad (16)$$

Dividing both sides of (13) by  $\theta$  and plugging (15) and (16) into it, we obtain

$$\tau^k = \frac{1}{u_c F_k} \underbrace{\frac{(u_c F_n - u_l)}{(u_c \eta_3 - u_l \eta_1)}}_{=\frac{\Phi}{\theta}} (u_k \eta_1 - u_c \eta_2). \quad (17)$$

From equation(15), the term  $(u_c F_n - u_l) / (u_c \eta_3 - u_l \eta_1) = \frac{\Phi}{\theta}$  is nonnegative, because the Lagrange multiplier  $\Phi$  is nonnegative, while the insatiable utility function implies that  $\theta$  is strictly positive. Notice that  $u_c$  and  $F_k$  are both strictly positive. Hence the sign of the limiting capital income tax is determined completely by the sign of the term  $(u_k \eta_1 - u_c \eta_2)$ . To examine the optimal labor income tax, we combine (12) with (14), rearrange the terms, and obtain

$$u_c F_n - u_l = \frac{\Phi}{1 + \Phi} (\eta_3 - F_n \eta_1). \quad (18)$$

Substituting the marginal productivity condition of the firm into  $\frac{u_l(t)}{u_c(t)} = (1 - \tau_t^n)w_t$  gives us

$$u_c F_n - u_l = \tau^n u_c F_n. \quad (19)$$

Combining (18) with (19) leads to

$$\tau^n = \frac{1}{u_c F_n} \frac{\Phi}{1 + \Phi} (\eta_3 - F_n \eta_1). \quad (20)$$

Since  $u_c > 0$ ,  $F_n > 0$  and the multiplier  $\Phi$  is nonnegative, the limiting optimal labor income tax depends on the value of the term in the bracket, listed in the theorem.  $\square$

## 1.2 Proof of Proposition 4.2

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* To solve the Ramsey problem, we construct the Lagrangian

$$L = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [\alpha u^1(c_t^1, k_t^1) + (1 - \alpha) u^2(c_t^2, 1 - n_t^2)] + \widehat{\Phi} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [u_c^1(t) c_t^1 + u_k^1(t) k_t^1] - \widetilde{A}_2 \right] \\ + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \mu_t [u_l^2(t) n_t^2 - u_c^2(t) c_t^2] + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \theta_t [F(k_t^1, n_t^2) - c_t^1 - c_t^2 - k_{t+1}^1 + (1 - \delta) k_t^1 - g_t],$$

where  $\widehat{\Phi}$ ,  $\{\mu_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\theta_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  are the Lagrange multipliers associated with the implementability condition, the optimality condition of the worker, and the resource constraint, respectively. The optimality conditions w.r.t  $c_t^1$ ,  $k_{t+1}^1$ ,  $c_t^2$ , and  $n_t^2$  are:

$$(\alpha + \widehat{\Phi}) u_c^1(t) + \underbrace{\widehat{\Phi} [u_{cc}^1(t) c_t^1 + u_{kc}^1(t) k_t^1]}_{\equiv \varrho_1} = \theta_t, t \geq 1, \quad (21)$$

$$\beta \left\{ (\alpha + \widehat{\Phi}) u_k^1(t+1) + \underbrace{\widehat{\Phi} [u_{kk}^1(t+1) k_{t+1}^1 + u_{ck}^1(t+1) c_{t+1}^1]}_{\equiv \varrho_2} \right\} = \theta_t - \beta \theta_{t+1} [F_k(t+1) + 1 - \delta], t \geq 0, \quad (22)$$

$$(1 - \alpha - \mu_t) u_c^2(t) + \mu_t \underbrace{[u_{lc}^2(t) n_t^2 - u_{cc}^2(t) c_t^2]}_{\equiv \varrho_3} = \theta_t, t \geq 0, \quad (23)$$

$$(1 - \alpha - \mu_t) u_l^2(t) + \mu_t \underbrace{[u_{ll}^2(t) n_t^2 - u_{cl}^2(t) c_t^2]}_{\equiv \varrho_4} = \theta_t F_n(t), t \geq 0. \quad (24)$$

Suppose that the economy converges to an interior steady state. Combining the steady state equations of the consumption Euler equation of the capitalist and (22) yields us

$$\tau^k = \frac{1}{F_k} \left[ \frac{u_k^1}{u_c^1} - \frac{\alpha + \widehat{\Phi}}{\theta} u_k^1 - \frac{\widehat{\Phi}}{\theta} \varrho_2 \right]. \quad (25)$$

Solving equation (21) for  $(\alpha + \widehat{\Phi})/\theta = (1 - \widehat{\Phi} \varrho_1/\theta)/u_c^1$  and putting it into (25), we solve for

$$\tau^k = \frac{\widehat{\Phi}}{\theta} \frac{1}{u_c^1 F_k} (u_k^1 \varrho_1 - u_c^1 \varrho_2). \quad (26)$$

To search for the limiting labor income tax, we combine equations (23) and (24) to derive

$$\frac{u_l^2}{u_c^2} = \frac{(\theta F_n - \mu \varrho_3)}{(\theta - \mu \varrho_2)}. \quad (27)$$

Substituting (27) into the optimality condition of the representative worker, we obtain the formula for the limiting labor income tax

$$\tau^n = \frac{\varrho_4 - \varrho_3 F_n}{F_n} \frac{\mu}{\theta - \mu \varrho_3}. \quad (28)$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$